

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MEIJER, INC. & MEIJER DISTRIBUTION,
INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ABBOTT LABORATORIES,

Defendant.

No. C 07-5985 CW

ORDER CONCERNING
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION
TO COMPEL (Docket
No. 111)

SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION d/b/a/
GLAXOSMITHKLINE,

Plaintiff,

v.

ABBOTT LABORATORIES,

Defendant.

No. C 07-5702 CW

ORDER CONCERNING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
TO COMPEL (Docket
No. 88)

Plaintiffs in the above-captioned cases have moved to compel Defendant to produce certain information concerning, among other things, its costs relating to Kaletra, Norvir and lopinavir. Although the Court has ruled that Plaintiffs need not satisfy the test set out in Cascade Health Solutions v. PeaceHealth, 515 F.3d 883 (9th Cir. 2008), the Court is of the opinion that Defendant's costs may nonetheless be relevant to the issue of whether its pricing is anticompetitive.

1 Plaintiffs' motions are hereby referred to Magistrate Judge
2 Zimmerman for decision consistent with this order.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4
5 Dated: 7/8/08



6 CLAUDIA WILKEN
7 United States District Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28